

The quality of broadcasting content from the major players in the market has reached an all-time low. Reducing restrictions on ownership will worsen this problem. The same perspective, political agenda and casual news attitude of one corporate entity will be able to cross public distribution channels. I am against the proposed FCC broadcast ownership changes. Survival of the fittest in a predatory merger-filled pseudo "free market" is irresponsible. Those corporations with the largest asset base will win ownership. Deregulation has not worked successfully in managing any other aspect of public property. It will not work in broadcasting. I am tired of the exploitation of the public interest for the enrichment of a few select groups of corporations.

I've read the FCC's press statements and I'd like to know when and where the public were asked to comment on the proposal. It is quite evident that the FCC is not interested in public input, but rather would prefer to make "arrangements" behind the scenes without the knowledge of the public. Why not allow the public to read the submitted proposals? Why aren't these a matter of public record? The FCC appears to be involved in a large scale shell game and this erodes public trust. I am against the manner in which the FCC is attempting to "innovate" media changes in its strategic plan.

I want an open public forum, more discussion and transparency of interactions between the FCC, its personnel and the financial benefactors of the proposed media changes. The FCC is doing a poor job of informing and consulting the public . . . if you are modeling Wall Street, it's a bad business model to follow.